RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

12 OCT 26 PM 3: 56

		·	CLERK, U.S. DIST, COURT
Noel Mills, M.D.,		Case No.	DULUTH, MN 10-CV-3068 (LIB)
	Plaintiff,		
v.		VEF	RDICT FORM
-	Qualey, M.D., and Urology, P.A.,		
	Defendants.		
We, t	he jury in the above-entitled act	tion, give these answer	s to the following questions:
1.	Noel Mills in performing the urologic procedures on July 24, 2006?		
	Yes:	No:	-
	If you answered "Yes" to Que "No" to Question 1., proceed		estion 2. If you answered
2.	2. Was such negligence a direct cause of injury to Dr. Noel Mills?		
	Yes:	No:	-
3.	risks of the urologic procedures prior to performing them on July 24, 2006?		nem on July 24, 2006?
	Yes:	No:	
	If you answered "Yes" to Que "No" to Question 3., proceed	stion 3, proceed to Que	
4.	Was such negligence a direct of Yes:		
	Pa	ge 1 of 2	SCANNED

OCT 2 6 2012

Regardless of your answers to the above questions, answer these questions:

- 5. What amount of money will fairly and adequately compensate Dr. Noel Mills for damages incurred up to the date of trial for:
 - (a) Medical Expenses



(b) Pain, disability, disfigurement, embarrassment, and emotional distress



- 6. What amount of money will fairly and adequately compensate Dr. Noel Mills for future damages reasonably certain to occur for:
 - (a) Medical Expenses



(b) Pain, disability, disfigurement, embarrassment, and emotional distress



Your deliberations are concluded. Have your foreperson sign and date the verdict form below.

Dated: October 2012

Jury Foreperson

